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#### Abstract

We prove a number of new results on the large-scale geometry of the $L^{p}$-metrics on the group of area-preserving diffeomorphisms of each orientable surface. Our proofs use in a key way the FultonMacPherson type compactification of the configuration space of $n$ points on the surface due to Axelrod-Singer and Kontsevich. This allows us to apply the Švarc-Milnor lemma to configuration spaces, a natural approach which we carry out successfully for the first time. As sample results, we prove that all right-angled Artin groups admit quasi-isometric embeddings into the group of area-preserving diffeomorphisms endowed with the $L^{p}$-metric, and that all Gambaudo-Ghys quasimorphisms on this metric group coming from the braid group on $n$ strands are Lipschitz. This was conjectured to hold, yet proven only for low values of $n$ and the genus $g$ of the surface.
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## 1 Introduction and main results

### 1.1 Introduction

The $L^{2}$-length of a path of volume-preserving diffeomorphisms, which describes a time-dependent flow of an ideal incompressible fluid, corresponds to the hydrodynamic action of the flow in the same way as the length of a path in a Riemannian manifold corresponds to its energy (cf. [50]). Indeed, it is the length of this path with respect to the formal right-invariant Riemannian metric on the group $\mathcal{G}$ of volume preserving diffeomorphisms introduced by Arnol'd in [1]. The $L^{1}$-length of the same path has a dynamical interpretation as the average length of a trajectory of a point under the flow.

Therefore, following the principle of least action, it makes sense to consider the infimum of the lengths of paths connecting two fixed volume-preserving diffeomorphisms. This gives rise to a right-invariant
distance function (metric) on $\mathcal{G}$. Taking the identity transformation as the initial point, Arnol'd observes that a path whose $L^{2}$-length is minimal (and equal to the distance) necessarily solves the Euler equation of an ideal incompressible fluid.
It follows from works of Ebin and Marsden [21] that for diffeomorphisms in $\mathcal{G}$ that are $C^{2}$-close to the identity, the infimum is indeed achieved. Further, more global results on the corresponding Riemannian exponential map were obtained in [19],[51] (see also [20]). In [49, 50] Shnirel'man showed, among a number of surprising facts about this subject, that in the case of the ball of dimension 3, the diameter of the $L^{2}$-metric is bounded. This result is conjectured to hold for all compact simply connected manifolds of dimension 3 or larger (see [23, 38, 2]), while its analogue in the non-simply-connected case is false [23, 7]. Furthermore, Shnirel'man has conjectured that for compact manifolds of dimension 2, the $L^{2}$-diameter is infinite.

Shnirel'man's conjecture, and its analogues for $L^{p}$-metrics, with $p \geq 1$ are by now proven. It follows from results of Eliashberg and Ratiu [23] that on compact surfaces (possibly with boundary) other than $T^{2}$ and $S^{2}$, Shnirel'man's conjecture holds for all $p \geq 1$. Their arguments rely on the Calabi homomorphism Cal [16] from the compactly supported Hamiltonian group $\operatorname{Ham}_{c}(M, \sigma)$ to the real numbers in the case of a surface $M$ with non-empty boundary ( $\sigma$ is the area form), and on non-trivial first cohomology combined with trivial center of the fundamental group in the closed case. For the two-torus $T^{2}$ Shnirel'man's conjecture holds by [14, Appendix A]. Finally, the case of $S^{2}$ was settled in [14] by means of differential forms on the configuration space related to the cross-ratio map. In [43] the second author gave a new uniform proof of Shnirel'man's conjecture for all compact surfaces.

The methods that were used to prove Shnirel'man's conjecture are two-dimensional in nature, and have to do with braiding of trajectories of time-dependent two-dimensional Hamiltonian flows (in extended phase space). Indeed, Shnirel'man has proposed to use relative rotation numbers to bound from below the $L^{2}$-lengths of two-dimensional Hamiltonian paths in [50]. This direction is related to the method of [23] by a theorem of Fathi [24] and Gambaudo and Ghys [27] (see also [48, 31, 33]). This theorem shows that the Calabi homomorphism is proportional to the relative rotation number of the trajectories of two distinct points in the two-disc $\mathbb{D}$ under a Hamiltonian flow, averaged over the configuration space of ordered pairs of distinct points $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ in the two-disc.
This line of research was notably pursued in [29], and further in [4], [18], [7], [9], [39], [14] obtaining quasi-isometric and bi-Lipschitz embeddings of various groups (right-angled Artin groups and additive groups of finite-dimensional real vector spaces) into $\operatorname{Ham}_{c}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}, d x \wedge d y\right)$, into $\operatorname{ker}(\mathrm{Cal}) \subset \operatorname{Ham}_{c}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}, d x \wedge\right.$ $d y$ ), and into $\operatorname{Ham}\left(S^{2}, \sigma\right)$ endowed with their respective $L^{p}$-metrics (see [10] for similar embedding results on manifolds with a sufficiently complicated fundamental group). In all cases, the key technical estimate is an upper bound, via the $L^{p}$-length of an isotopy of volume-preserving diffeomorphisms, of the average, over all points in a configuration space of the manifold, of the word length in the fundamental group of the configuration space of the trace of the point under the induced isotopy (closed up to a loop by a system of short paths on the configuration space).
Such estimates were initially produced by means of analyzing relative rotation numbers of pairs of braids, or quadruples as in [14]. However, in the case of a single braid, as observed by Polterovich, a simpler estimate is possible via the Švarc-Milnor lemma [22, 54, 44]. A similar estimate in the case of braids on more than one strand is not as readily available, because the configuration space $X_{n}(M)$ of $n$ points on $M$ is not a compact metric space. In [43], the second author has introduced a new complete metric on $X_{n}(M)$ which has allowed for a new proof of Shnirel'man's conjecture. However, with this metric $X_{n}(M)$ still can not be considered to be a compact metric space from the point of view of the Švarc-Milnor lemma.

In this paper we show how to successfully carry out the strategy of the Švarc-Milnor lemma for configuration spaces. While hints of a similar approach can be discerned in [26] in the special case of the two-disk and of double collisions, it was not known earlier to be applicable in the general context discussed herein. Specifically, we consider a metric on $X_{n}(M)$ coming from a natural compactification
$\bar{X}_{n}(M)$ thereof, which is a compact geodesic metric space. This compactification is equivariant under the action of the diffeomorphism group $\operatorname{Diff}(M)$ on $X_{n}(M)$ in the sense that the action extends naturally to the compactification ${ }^{1}$. Furthermore, the map $\pi_{1}\left(X_{n}(M)\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(\bar{X}_{n}(M)\right)$ induced by the inclusion is an isomorphism. Hence we may apply the Švarc-Milnor lemma to $\bar{X}_{n}(M)$. This, and further comparison to the metric from [43] allows us to prove our main estimate.

The compactification we use was introduced by Axelrod-Singer in [3] and by Kontsevich in [40, 41], inspired by the Fulton-MacPherson compactification in algebraic geometry [25]. The compactification $\bar{X}_{n}(M)$ is roughly speaking a certain positive oriented blow-up of $M^{n}$ along its multi-diagonals. For instance, one part of its codimension 1 boundary stratum is identified with the disjoint union of spaces of the form $\left.N_{1}\left(D_{i j}(M)\right)\right|_{D_{i j}^{0}(M)}$ where $N_{1}$ is the unit normal bundle, $D_{i j}(M) \subset M^{n}$ is the submanifold of points $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ where $x_{i}=x_{j}$ and $D_{i j}^{0}(M) \subset D_{i j}(M)$ is the open dense subset where $x_{k} \neq x_{i}=x_{j}$ for all $k \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \backslash\{i, j\}$. Note that this is an $S^{1}$-bundle. Intuitively, from a physical perspective, this means that one resolves a double collision of points by recording the collision point and the direction in which they have collided. Other parts of the codimension 1 stratum correspond to simple $k$-tuple collisions, and correspond to $S^{2 k-3}$-bundles normal to $k$-diagonals. Higher strata correspond to more complicated collisions modeled by suitable graphs. It will, however, be technically most convenient for us to use a model of this compactification recently constructed directly as a subspace of a Euclidean space by Sinha [52]. We describe this construction in Section 2 below.

Finally, we observe that lower bounds on the average word length can often be provided by quasimorphisms - functions that are additive with respect to the group multiplication - up to an error which is uniformly bounded (as a function of two variables). The quasimorphisms we consider here were introduced and studied by Gambaudo and Ghys in the beautiful paper [28] (see also [8, 45, 46, 47, 6, 14, 13]). These quasimorphisms essentially appear from invariants of braids traced out by the action of a Hamiltonian path on an ordered $n$-tuple of distinct points in the surface (suitably closed up), averaged over the configuration space $X_{n}(M)$ of $n$-tuples of distinct points on the surface $M$. As one of our results, we prove that all homogeneous Gambaudo-Ghys quasi-morphisms are Lipschitz in the $L^{p}$-metric for all $p \geq 1$. This subsumes all previous results in this direction and provides a maximally general result. It also contrasts a recent result of Khanevsky according to which none of these quasi-morphisms are continuous in Hofer's metric [37].

We prove further stronger results on the large-scale geometry on the $L^{p}$-metric on $\mathcal{G}$. In particular, we provide bi-Lipschitz group monomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ endowed with the standard (say Euclidean) metric into $\left(\mathcal{G}, d_{L^{p}}\right)$ for each positive integer $m$ and each $p \geq 1$. Finally, our methods combined with an argument of Kim-Koberda [39] (cf. Crisp-Wiest [18], Benaim-Gambaudo [4]) show the existence of quasi-isometric group monomorphisms from each right-angled Artin group to ( $\mathcal{G}, d_{L^{p}}$ ) for each $p \geq 1$. We note that this was previously known only for $\mathbb{D}^{2}$ and $S^{2}[39,14]$.
Let $M_{1} \hookrightarrow M_{2}$ be a measure preserving embedding of surfaces. It is an open question if the induced monomorphism $\operatorname{Diff}_{0}\left(M_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Diff}_{0}\left(M_{2}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ is a quasi-isometric embedding. Note that our results provide a partial positive answer to this problem, see Remark 1.3.5.
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### 1.2 Preliminaries

### 1.2.1 The $L^{p}$-metric

Let $M$ denote a smooth oriented manifold without boundary that is either closed, or $M=X \backslash \partial X$ for a compact manifold $X$. Let $M$ be endowed with a Riemannian metric $g$ and smooth measure $\mu$ (given by an orientation on $M$ and volume form, which in our case of a surface $M$ is an area form $\sigma$ ). We require that $g$ and $\mu$ extend continuously to $X$ in the second case. Finally denote by

$$
\mathcal{G}=\operatorname{Diff}_{c, 0}(M, \mu)
$$

the identity component of the group of compactly supported diffeomorphisms of $M$ preserving the smooth measure $\mu$. In other words, if $M=X \backslash \partial X$, it is the identity component of the group of measure preserving diffeomorphisms of $X$ fixing point-wise a neighbourhood of $\partial X$.

Fix $p \geq 1$. For a smooth isotopy $\left\{\phi_{t}\right\}_{t \in[0,1]}$, from $\phi_{0}=1$ to $\phi_{1}=\phi$, we define the $L^{p}$-length by

$$
l_{p}\left(\left\{\phi_{t}\right\}\right)=\int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(M, \mu)} \cdot \int_{M}\left|X_{t}\right|^{p} d \mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d t
$$

where $X_{t}=\left.\frac{d}{d t^{\prime}}\right|_{t^{\prime}=t} \phi_{t^{\prime}} \circ \phi_{t}^{-1}$ is the time-dependent vector field generating the isotopy $\left\{\phi_{t}\right\}$, and $\left|X_{t}\right|$ is its length with respect to the Riemannian structure on $M$. As is easily seen by a displacement argument, the $L^{p}$-length functional determines a non-degenerate norm on $\mathcal{G}$ by the formula

$$
d_{p}(\mathbf{1}, \phi)=\inf l_{p}\left(\left\{\phi_{t}\right\}\right)
$$

This in turn defines a right-invariant metric on $\mathcal{G}$ by the formula

$$
d_{p}\left(\phi_{0}, \phi_{1}\right)=d_{p}\left(\mathbf{1}, \phi_{1} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)
$$

Remark 1.2.1. Consider the case $p=1$. It is easy to see that the $L^{1}$-length of an isotopy is equal to the average Riemannian length of the trajectory $\left\{\phi_{t}(x)\right\}_{t \in[0,1]}$ (over $x \in M$, with respect to $\mu$ ). Moreover for each $p \geq 1$, by Jensen's (or Hölder's) inequality, we have

$$
l_{p}\left(\left\{\phi_{t}\right\}\right) \geq l_{1}\left(\left\{\phi_{t}\right\}\right)
$$

Denote by $\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}$ the identity element of the universal cover $\underset{\mathcal{G}}{\widetilde{G}}$ of $\mathcal{G}$. Similarly one has the $L^{p}$-pseudo-norm (that induces the right-invariant $L^{p}$-pseudo-metric) on $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$, defined for $\widetilde{\phi} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ as

$$
d_{p}(\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}, \widetilde{\phi})=\inf l_{p}\left(\left\{\phi_{t}\right\}\right)
$$

where the infimum is taken over all paths $\left\{\phi_{t}\right\}$ in the class of $\widetilde{\phi}$. Clearly $d_{p}(\mathbf{1}, \phi)=\inf d_{p}(\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}, \widetilde{\phi})$, where the infimum runs over all $\widetilde{\phi} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ that map to $\phi$ under the natural epimorphism $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$.
Up to bi-Lipschitz equivalence of metrics ( $d$ and $d^{\prime}$ are equivalent if $\frac{1}{C} d \leq d^{\prime} \leq C d$ for a certain constant $C>0$ ) the $L^{p}$-metric on $\mathcal{G}$ (and its pseudo-metric analogue on $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ ) is independent of the choice Riemannian structure and of the volume form $\mu$ on $M$. In particular, the question of boundedness or unboundedness of the $L^{p}$-metric enjoys the same invariance property.
Terminology: For a positive integer $n$, we use $A, B, C>0$ as generic notation for positive constants that depend only on $M, \mu, g$ and $n$.

### 1.2.2 Quasimorphisms

Some of our results have to do with the notion of a quasimorphism. Quasimorphisms are a helpful tool for the study of non-abelian groups, especially those that admit few homomorphisms to $\mathbb{R}$. A quasimorphism $r: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a group $G$ is a real-valued function that satisfies

$$
r(x y)=r(x)+r(y)+b_{r}(x, y)
$$

for a function $b_{r}: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that is uniformly bounded:

$$
\delta(r):=\sup _{G \times G}\left|b_{r}\right|<\infty .
$$

A quasimorphism $\bar{r}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called homogeneous if $\bar{r}\left(x^{k}\right)=k \bar{r}(x)$ for all $x \in G$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. In this case, it is additive on each pair $x, y \in G$ of commuting elements: $r(x y)=r(x)+r(y)$ if $x y=y x$.

For each quasimorphism $r: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ there exists a unique homogeneous quasimorphism $\bar{r}$ that differs from $r$ by a bounded function:

$$
\sup _{G}|\bar{r}-r|<\infty
$$

It is called the homogenization of $r$ and satisfies

$$
\bar{r}(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r\left(x^{n}\right)}{n}
$$

Denote by $Q(G)$ the real vector space of homogeneous quasimorphisms on $G$.
For a finitely-generated group $G$, with finite symmetric generating set $S$, define the word norm $|\cdot|_{S}$ : $G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ by

$$
|g|_{S}=\min \left\{k \mid g=s_{1} \cdot \ldots \cdot s_{k}, \forall 1 \leq j \leq k, s_{j} \in S\right\}
$$

for $g \in G$. This is a norm on $G$, and as such it induces a right-invariant metric $d_{S}: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ by $d_{S}(f, g)=\left|g f^{-1}\right|_{S}$. This metric is called the word metric. In this setting, any quasimorphism $r: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is controlled by the word norm. Indeed, for all $g \in G$,

$$
|r(g)| \leq\left(\delta(r)+\max _{s \in S}|r(s)|\right) \cdot|g|_{S}
$$

When a specific symmetric generating set $S$ for $G$ can be fixed, we will usually denote $|\cdot|_{S}$ by $|\cdot|_{G}$.
We refer to [17] for more information about quasimorphisms.

### 1.2.3 Configuration spaces and braid groups

For a manifold $M$, which in this paper is usually of dimension 2 , the configuration space $X_{n}(M) \subset M^{n}$ of $n$-tuples of points on $M$ is defined as

$$
X_{n}(M)=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mid x_{i} \neq x_{j}, 1 \leq i<j \leq n\right\} .
$$

That is

$$
X_{n}(M)=M^{n} \backslash \bigcup_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} D_{i j}
$$

where for $1 \leq i<j \leq n$, the partial diagonal $D_{i j} \subset M^{n}$ is defined as $D_{i j}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mid x_{i}=x_{j}\right\}$. Note that $D_{i j}$ is a submanifold of $M^{n}$ of codimension $\operatorname{dim} M$.
Finally, if $\operatorname{dim} M=2$, we define the pure braid group of $M$ as

$$
P_{n}(M)=\pi_{1}\left(X_{n}(M)\right)
$$

Noting that the symmetric group $S_{n}$ on $n$ elements acts on $X_{n}(M)$, we form the quotient $C_{n}(M)=$ $X_{n}(M) / S_{n}$ and define the full braid group of $M$ as

$$
B_{n}(M)=\pi_{1}\left(C_{n}(M)\right)
$$

We note that $P_{n}(M)$ and $B_{n}(M)$ enter the exact sequence $1 \rightarrow P_{n}(M) \rightarrow B_{n}(M) \rightarrow S_{n} \rightarrow 1$. In particular $P_{n}(M)$ is a normal subgroup of $B_{n}(M)$ of finite index. We refer to [36] for further information about braid groups.

### 1.2.4 Short paths and the Gambaudo-Ghys construction

Let $M$ be a compact oriented surface. Given a real valued quasimorphism $r$ on $P_{n}(M)=\pi_{1}\left(X_{n}(M), q\right)$ for a fixed basepoint $q \in X_{n}(M)$ there is a natural way to construct a real valued quasimorphism on the universal cover $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ of the group $\mathcal{G}=\operatorname{Diff}_{c, 0}(M, \sigma)$ of area preserving diffeomorphisms of the surface $M$. We shall see that in the case of $M \neq T^{2}$ this induces a quasimorphism on $\mathcal{G}$ itself, because the fundamental group of $\mathcal{G}$ is finite. The same is true for $M=T^{2}$ where we consider the group $\mathcal{G}=\operatorname{Ham}(M, \sigma)$ of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms instead. This is not a restrictive condition from the viewpoint of large-scale geometry, since by a small modification of [14, Proposition A.1], the inclusion $\left(\operatorname{Ham}\left(T^{2}, \sigma\right), d_{L^{p}}\right) \hookrightarrow\left(\operatorname{Diff}_{0}\left(T^{2}, \sigma\right), d_{L^{p}}\right)$ is a quasi-isometry for all $p \geq 1$.
The construction is carried out by the following steps (cf. [28, 45, 6]).

1. For all $x \in X_{n}(M) \backslash Z$, with $Z$ a closed negligible subset (e.g. a union of submanifolds of positive codimension) choose a smooth path $\gamma(x):[0,1] \rightarrow X_{n}(M)$ between the basepoint $q \in X_{n}(M)$ and $x$. Make this choice continuous in $X_{n}(M) \backslash Z$. We first choose a system of paths on $M$ itself. Then we consider the induced coordinate-wise paths in $M^{n}$, and pick $Z$ to ensure that these induced paths actually lie in $X_{n}(M)$. After choosing the system of paths $\{\gamma(x)\}_{x \in X_{n}(M) \backslash Z}$ we extend it measurably to all $x \in X_{n}(M)$ (obviously, no numerical values computed in the paper will depend on this extension). We call the resulting choice a "system of short paths".
2. Given a path $\left\{\phi_{t}\right\}_{t \in[0,1]}$ in $\mathcal{G}$ starting at $I d$, and a point $x \in X_{n}(M)$ consider the path $\left\{\phi_{t} \cdot x\right\}$, to which we then catenate the corresponding short paths. That is consider the loop

$$
\lambda\left(x,\left\{\phi_{t}\right\}\right):=\gamma(x) \#\left\{\phi_{t} \cdot x\right\} \# \gamma(y)^{-1}
$$

in $X_{n}(M)$ based at $q$, where ${ }^{-1}$ denotes time reversal. Hence we obtain for each $x \in X_{n}(M) \backslash$ $Z \cup\left(\phi_{1}\right)^{-1}(Z)$ an element $\left[\lambda\left(x,\left\{\phi_{t}\right\}\right)\right] \in \pi_{1}\left(X_{n}(M), q\right)$ (or rather for each $x \in X_{n}(M)$ after the measurable extension in Step 1).
3. Consequently applying the quasimorphism $r: \pi_{1}\left(X_{n}(M), q\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we obtain a measurable function $f: X_{n}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Namely $f(x)=r\left(\left[\lambda\left(x,\left\{\phi_{t}\right\}\right)\right]\right)$. The quasimorphism $\Phi$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is defined by

$$
\Phi\left(\left[\left\{\phi_{t}\right\}\right]\right)=\int_{X_{n}(M)} f d \mu^{\otimes n}
$$

It is immediate to see that this function is well-defined by topological reasons. The quasimorphism property follows by the quasimorphism property of $r$ combined with finiteness of volume. The fact that the function $f$ is absolutely integrable can be shown to hold a-priori by a reduction to the case of the disc. We note, however, that by Tonelli's theorem this fact follows as a by-product of the proof of our main theorem, and therefore requires no additional proof.
4. Of course this quasimorphism can be homogenized, to obtain a homogeneous quasimorphism $\bar{\Phi}$.

Remark 1.2.2. If $M \neq S^{2}$ and $M \neq T^{2}$, then $\pi_{1}(\mathcal{G})=0$ and for $M=T^{2}$ the same is true for $\mathcal{G}=$ $\operatorname{Ham}(M, \sigma)$. In the case $M=S^{2}$, by the result of Smale [53] $\pi_{1}(\mathcal{G})=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. Hence the quasimorphisms descend to quasimorphisms on $\mathcal{G}$, e.g. by minimizing over the two-element fibers of the projection
$\widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$. For $\bar{\Phi}$, the situation is easier since by homogeneity it vanishes on $\pi_{1}(\mathcal{G}) \subset Z(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}})$, and therefore depends only on the image in $\mathcal{G}$ of an element in $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$. We keep the same notations for the induced quasimorphisms.

### 1.3 Main results

Recall that we work with the group $\mathcal{G}=\operatorname{Diff}_{c, 0}(M, \sigma)$ for $(M, \sigma)$ a compact oriented surface with an area form. Our main technical result is the following.

Theorem 1. For an isotopy $\bar{\phi}=\left\{\phi_{t}\right\}$ in $\mathcal{G}$, the average word norm of a trajectory $\lambda(x, \bar{\phi})$ is controlled by the $L^{1}$-length of $\bar{\phi}$ :

$$
W(\bar{\phi})=\int_{X_{n}(M) \backslash Z \cup\left(\phi_{1}\right)^{-1}(Z)}|[\lambda(x, \bar{\phi})]|_{P_{n}(M)} d \mu^{\otimes n}(x) \leq A \cdot l_{1}(\bar{\phi})+B,
$$

for certain constants $A, B>0$.
Remark 1.3.1. Note that $W(\bar{\phi})$ depends only on the class $\widetilde{\phi}=[\bar{\phi}] \in \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ of $\bar{\phi}$ in the universal cover $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ of $\mathcal{G}$. Hence for all closed surfaces of positive genus $W(\bar{\phi})$ does not depend on the chosen isotopy $\bar{\phi}$, but only on the diffeomorphism $\phi_{1}$. This is because $\mathcal{G}$ is simply connected.
Theorem 1 has a number of consequences concerning the large-scale geometry of the $L^{1}$-metric on $\mathcal{G}$. Firstly, as any quasimorphism on a finitely generated group is controlled by the word norm, we immediately obtain the following statement.

Corollary 1. The homogenization $\bar{\Phi}$ of each Gambaudo-Ghys quasimorphism $\Phi$ satisfies

$$
|\bar{\Phi}(\phi)| \leq C \cdot d_{1}(\phi, 1) .
$$

In particular, Corollary 1 implies that all Gambaudo-Ghys quasimorphisms are continuous in the $L^{1}$-metric (and hence in the $L^{p}$-metric, see Remark 1.3.4), a fact which was known so far only for the genus zero case (see [14, 7]) and for the higher genus case only when one considers GambaudoGhys quasimorphisms coming from the fundamental group $P_{1}(M)$, see [7]. Note that none of these quasimorphisms are continuous in the Hofer metric by a recent result by Khanevsky [37].

By a theorem of Ishida [34] in the genus zero case and its generalisation to any compact oriented surface [12, Theorem 2.2] (see all well Brandenbursky-Kedra-Shelukhin [11] in the genus one case, and Brandenbursky [8] in the higher genus case), the image of the map $Q\left(P_{n}(M)\right) \xrightarrow{G G} Q(\mathcal{G})$ is infinite dimensional for $n \geq 4$. Thus $Q(\mathcal{G})$ is an infinite-dimensional vector space. Hence by Corollary 1 we obtain in particular the following.

Corollary 2. The $L^{1}$-diameter of $\mathcal{G}$ is infinite.
Let $E n t^{k}$ be the set of products of at most $k$ entropy zero diffeomorphisms. Theorem 1 in [12] and Corollary 1 imply the following.

Corollary 3. For each positive integer $k$, the complement in $\mathcal{G}$ of the set Ent ${ }^{k}$ contains a ball of any arbitrarily large radius in the $L^{1}$-metric. In particular, the set of non-autonomous diffeomorphisms contains a ball of any arbitrarily large radius in the $L^{1}$-metric.

In what follows, we apply an argument of Kim-Koberda [39] (cf. Benaim-Gambaudo [4] and CrispWiest [18]), and use Theorem 1 in order to obtain the following statement, which generalizes an answer to a question of Kapovich [35] in the case of $S^{2}[14]$ to arbitrary compact oriented surfaces.

Corollary 4. The metric group $\left(\mathcal{G}, d_{1}\right)$ admits a quasi-isometric group embedding from each rightangled Artin group endowed with the word metric.

Proof. Assume first that $M=\Sigma_{g}$ a closed surface of a positive genus. The $S^{2}$ case was already done in [35] and the case of surfaces with boundary will be discussed at the and of the proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $D \subset \Sigma_{g}$ be a smoothly embedded open disc and $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$ disjoint points in $D$. For each $i$, let $D_{i} \subset D$ be an embedded open disc centered at $z_{i}$ such that each short geodesic between two points in $D_{i}$ lies in $D_{i}$, and $D_{i} \cap D_{j}=\emptyset$ for each $i \neq j$. We denote by $\operatorname{Diff}\left(D ; D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}\right)<\mathcal{G}$ a group which contains all diffeomorphisms in $\mathcal{G}$ which are compactly supported in $D$ and act by identity on each $D_{i}$. This subgroup is equipped with the $L^{1}$-metric coming from $\mathcal{G}$.

Lemma 1. Let $n>4$. We identify $P_{n}$ with the pure mapping class group of the disc $D$ punctured at $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$. Then the inclusion $P_{n} \rightarrow P_{n}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$ is a quasi-isometric embedding.

Proof. Since $P_{n}$ is quasi-isometric to $B_{n}$ and $P_{n}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$ is quasi-isometric to $B_{n}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$, it is enough to show that the inclusion $B_{n} \rightarrow B_{n}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$ is a quasi-isometric embedding, where $B_{n}$ is identified with the mapping class group of the disc $D$ punctured at $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$. By results of Goldberg [30] (c.f. Birman [5]) we have that $B_{n}$ is a subgroup of $B_{n}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$. In addition, the composition

$$
B_{n} \xrightarrow{i} B_{n}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right) \xrightarrow{F} M C G_{g, n}
$$

is injective, where $i$ is the inclusion, the map $F: B_{n}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right) \rightarrow M C G_{g, n}$ is the point pushing map and $M C G_{g, n}$ is the mapping class group of $\Sigma_{g}$ punctured at $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$. Moreover, by a result of Hamenstadt [32, Theorem 2] the map $F \circ i: B_{n} \hookrightarrow M C G_{g, n}$ is a quasi-isometric embedding for $n>4$. Since $F$ is Lipschitz, it follows follows that $i$ is a quasi-isometric embedding (see [10, Lemma 2.1]).

Let us return to the proof of the corollary. Let

$$
H: \operatorname{Diff}\left(D ; D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}\right) \rightarrow P_{n}<P_{n}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)
$$

where $H(\phi)$ is an element in $P_{n}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$ represented by a path $\left(\phi_{t}\left(z_{1}\right), \ldots, \phi_{t}\left(z_{n}\right)\right)$ in the configuration space, and $\left\{\phi_{t}\right\}$ is any isotopy in $\mathcal{G}$ between the identity $\phi_{0}$ and $\phi:=\phi_{1}$.
It follows from the results of Kim-Koberda [39] (c.f. Crisp-Wiest [18] and Benaim-Gambaudo [4]) that for each RAAG $\Gamma$ there exists $n$ and an embedding of $\Gamma$ into $\operatorname{Diff}\left(D ; D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}\right)$ whose composition with $H$ is a quasi-isometric embedding of $\Gamma$ into $P_{n}$. By Lemma 1, it gives as well a quasi-isometric embedding of $\Gamma$ into $P_{n}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$. Then in order to obtain a quasi-isometric embedding of $\Gamma$ into $\mathcal{G}$ it is enough to show that the map $H$ is large-scale Lipschitz whenever $n>4$.

This fact follows from our main result, Theorem 1. Let us prove it. Set

$$
X\left(D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}\right):=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in X_{n}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right) \mid x_{i} \in D_{i}\right\}
$$

Let $\left\{\phi_{t}\right\}$ be any isotopy in $\mathcal{G}$ between the identity $\phi_{0}=\mathbf{1}$ and $\phi:=\phi_{1}$. It follows from Theorem 1 that there exist positive constants $A$ and $B$ which depend only on $n$ such that

$$
\int_{X\left(D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}\right)}|[\lambda(x, \phi)]|_{P_{n}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)} d \mu^{\otimes n}(x) \leq A \cdot l_{1}(\phi)+B
$$

Note that for each $x \in X\left(D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}\right)$ we have $[\lambda(x, \phi)]=H(\phi)$. Thus

$$
\int_{X\left(D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}\right)}|[\lambda(x, \phi)]|_{P_{n}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)} d \mu^{\otimes n}(x)=\operatorname{vol}\left(X\left(D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}\right)\right) \cdot|H(\phi)|_{P_{n}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)}
$$

and we obtain the result, i.e., $H$ is large-scale Lipschitz:

$$
|H(\phi)|_{P_{n}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)} \leq \frac{A}{\operatorname{vol}\left(X\left(D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}\right)\right)} \cdot l_{1}(\phi)+\frac{B}{\operatorname{vol}\left(X\left(D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}\right)\right)}
$$

Assume now that $S$ is a surface with boundary. We can embed $S$ in a closed surface $S^{\prime}$ such that the embedding is area preserving. This induces a monomorphism $\iota$ : $\operatorname{Diff}_{c, 0}\left(M_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Diff}_{c, 0}\left(M_{2}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ (note that elements of $\operatorname{Diff} c, 0\left(M_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right)$ fix point-wise the neighbourhood of the boundary, so they can be extended by the identity). Since $\iota$ it Lipschitz, and the embedding of $\Gamma$ to $\operatorname{Diff} c, 0\left(M_{2}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ can be constructed such that it factors through $\operatorname{Diff}_{c, 0}\left(M_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right)$, we get a quasi-isometric embedding to $\operatorname{Diff}_{c, 0}\left(M_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right)$.

Remark 1.3.2. We note that Corollary 4 implies Corollary 2, providing the latter with a proof that does not use quasimorphisms.

Furthermore, note that Corollary 4 implies that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a quasi-isometric embedding $i_{k}: \mathbb{Z}^{k} \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{G}, d_{1}\right)$. Moreover, there exists a $k$-tuple of autonomous Hamiltonian flows (one-parameter subgroups) $\left\{\left\{\phi_{i}^{t}\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ which have disjoint supports, and $i_{k}\left(e_{j}\right)=\phi_{j}^{1}$ for each $1 \leq j \leq k$, where $e_{j}=(0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0)$ and 1 lies in the $j$-th entry. The above $k$-tuple of flows defines a homomorphism $j_{k}: \mathbb{R}^{k} \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{G}, d_{1}\right)$ such that $i_{k}=\left.j_{k}\right|_{\mathbb{Z}^{k}}$. Since $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ is quasi-isometric to $\mathbb{Z}^{k}$, we obtain the following statement:

Corollary 5. The metric group $\left(\mathcal{G}, d_{1}\right)$ admits a quasi-isometric embedding from $\left(\mathbb{R}^{k}, d\right)$ where $d$ is any metric on $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ induced by a vector-space norm.

The following lemma allows us to prove that the quasi-isometric embeddings of $\mathbb{Z}^{k}, \mathbb{R}^{k}$ from Corollary 5 are in fact bi-Lipschitz embeddings.

Lemma 2. Let $(G, d)$ be a metric group and let $A \subset V$ be a subgroup of a normed linear space. Then each Lipschitz quasi-isometric embedding $j: A \rightarrow G$ of metric groups is a bi-Lipschitz embedding. If $A$ is discrete and finitely generated then each homomorphism $j: A \rightarrow G$ is Lipschitz.

Proof. Let $j: A \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism. If $A$ is discrete and finitely generated, then $A \cong \mathbb{Z}^{l}$ for $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the norm being equivalent to the standard norm on $\mathbb{Z}^{l}$. The upper bound $d(j(x), \mathbf{1}) \leq C|x|$ for all $x \in A$ is now immediate. Hence $j$ is Lipschitz.

Suppose now that $A \subset V$ is a subgroup and $j: A \rightarrow G$ is a Lipschitz quasi-isometric embedding. Let $C \geq 1$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{C}|x|-B \leq d(j(x), \mathbf{1}) \leq C|x| \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a constant $B \geq 0$ and all $x \in A$. We claim that the inequality (1) holds with $B=0$. Indeed, consider (1) for $x^{m}$ where $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. We have

$$
\frac{1}{C} m|x|-B \leq d\left(j\left(x^{m}\right), \mathbf{1}\right)=d\left(j(x)^{m}, \mathbf{1}\right) \leq m \cdot d(j(x), \mathbf{1})
$$

where the last inequality is due to the right-invariance of $d$. Dividing by $m$ yields

$$
\frac{1}{C}|x|-B / m \leq d(j(x), \mathbf{1})
$$

which finishes the proof by taking limits as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

This immediately implies the following strengthening of Corollary 5 , since $j_{k}$ is evidently Lipschitz.
Corollary 6. The metric group $\left(\mathcal{G}, d_{1}\right)$ admits a bi-Lipschitz embedding from $\left(\mathbb{R}^{k}, d\right)$ where $d$ is any metric on $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ induced by a vector-space norm.

Remark 1.3.3. It should be possible to prove Corollary 6 by using quasimorphisms, as in [14].
Remark 1.3.4. Let $p \geq 1$. Note that since, by Jensen's (or Hölder's) inequality,

$$
d_{1} \leq d_{p}
$$

all the above results for $d_{1}$ continue to hold for $d_{p}$.
Recall that if $M$ has boundary then we assume that elements of $\operatorname{Diff}_{c, 0}\left(M, \sigma_{1}\right)$ fix point-wise an open neighbourhood of $\partial M$. Thus if $\left(M_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right) \hookrightarrow\left(M_{2}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ is a measure preserving embedding of manifolds, then extending a diffeomorphism on $M_{1}$ by the identity to a diffeomorphim of $M_{2}$ gives a well defined monomorphism $\iota: \operatorname{Diff}_{c, 0}\left(M_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Diff}_{c, 0}\left(M_{2}, \sigma_{2}\right)$.

We finish this section with a question.
Question 1. Let $\left(M_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right) \hookrightarrow\left(M_{2}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ be a measure preserving embedding of surfaces. Is the monomorphism $\iota: \operatorname{Diff}_{c, 0}\left(M_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Diff}_{c, 0}\left(M_{2}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ a quasi-isometric embedding?

Remark 1.3.5. Note that this question is motivated by our results since the statement holds for the compositions of $\iota$ with the embeddings of right-angled Artin groups and ( $\left.\mathbb{R}^{k}, d\right)$ from the proofs of Corollary 4 and Corollary 6.

### 1.4 Outline of the proof

In order to show Theorem 1, we define a Riemannian metric $g$ on $X_{n}(M)$ such that $d_{g}$, the geodesic metric on $X_{n}(M)$ induced by $g$, extends to the geodesic metric on the compactification $\bar{X}_{n}(M)$. This allows us to use the Švarc-Milnor lemma.

More precisely, Theorem 1 is a consequence of the following two propositions (we defer the proofs to Section 2).

Proposition 1.1. Let $\lambda$ be a piecewise $C^{1}$ loop in $X_{n}(M)$ based at $q$. Let $S$ be a finite generating set of $P_{n}(M)$. The word norm of the class $[\lambda] \in \pi_{1}\left(X_{n}(M), q\right) \cong P_{n}(M)$ with respect to $S$ satisfies

$$
|[\lambda]|_{P_{n}(M)} \leq A_{0} \cdot l_{g}(\lambda)+B_{0}
$$

for constants $A_{0}, B_{0}>0$ depending only on $S$ and $n$.
The next proposition says that the average length of loops $\lambda(x, \bar{\phi})$ is controlled by $l_{1}(\bar{\phi})$.
Proposition 1.2. Let $\bar{\phi}=\left\{\phi_{t}\right\}$ be an isotopy in $\mathcal{G}$ such that $\phi_{0}=1$. There exist constants $A_{1}, B_{1}>0$ depending only on $n$, such that

$$
\int_{X_{n}(M) \backslash Z \cup\left(\phi_{1}\right)^{-1}(Z)} l_{g}(\lambda(x, \bar{\phi})) d \mu^{\otimes n}(x) \leq A_{1} \cdot l_{1}(\bar{\phi})+B_{1} .
$$

To show this proposition, we first compare a metric $g$ to an auxiliary metric $g_{0}$. The metric $g_{0}$ does not extend to a metric on the compactification $\bar{X}_{n}(M)$, but it is relatively easy to show [43, Lemma 5.2], that the inequality from Proposition 1.2 holds for $g_{0}$.

## 2 Proofs.

### 2.1 Compactification of the configuration space

In this section $M$ is a compact $m$-dimensional manifold. Below we describe the compactification of $X_{n}(M)$ using an embedding of the configuration space to a high dimensional Euclidean space. We follow closely the construction given in [52].
Let us start with describing a family of maps on $X_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Let $\pi_{i j}: X_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \rightarrow S^{d-1}$ by defined by the formula

$$
\pi_{i j}(x)=\frac{x_{i}-x_{j}}{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}
$$

where $0<i<j<n+1$ and $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in X_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Let $s_{i j k}: X_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ be defined by the formula

$$
s_{i j k}(x)=\frac{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}{\left|x_{i}-x_{k}\right|}
$$

where $0<i<j<k<n+1$ and $[0, \infty]$ is the one point compactification of $[0, \infty)$. Let $\iota: X_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \hookrightarrow$ $\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)^{n}$ be the standard inclusion and let $A_{n}\left[\mathbb{R}^{d}\right]=\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)^{n} \times\left(S^{n-1}\right)^{\binom{n}{2}} \times[0, \infty]^{\binom{n}{3}}$. We consider the embedding of $X_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ to the ambient space $A_{n}[\mathbb{R}]$ given by the product map

$$
\alpha_{n}=\iota \times\left(\pi_{i j}\right) \times\left(s_{i j k}\right): X_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \rightarrow A_{n}\left[\mathbb{R}^{d}\right] .
$$

Suppose $M$ is a submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Let $\bar{X}_{n}(M)$ be the closure of the image $\alpha_{n}\left(X_{n}(M)\right)$ in $A_{n}\left[\mathbb{R}^{d}\right]$. Then $\bar{X}_{n}(M)$ is a manifold with boundary, and the inclusion $X_{n}(M) \hookrightarrow \bar{X}_{n}(M)$ is a homotopy equivalence [52, Theorem 4.4 and Corollary 4.5].

### 2.2 Two metrics on $X_{n}(M)$ and proof of Proposition 1.1

On $[0, \infty]$ we introduce the structure of a smooth manifold by a 1-map atlas $e^{-x}:[0, \infty] \rightarrow[0,1]$. Let $g_{\text {exp }}$ be the Riemannian metric on $[0, \infty]$ given by the pull-back of the Euclidean metric from $[0,1]$. In particular, we have that $|d x|_{g_{e x p}}=e^{-x}$, where $d x$ is a standard 'unit' vector field on $[0, \infty)$.
Let euc be the metric on $A_{n}\left[\mathbb{R}^{d}\right]$ given by the product of standard metrics on $\mathbb{R}^{d}, S^{d-1}$ and $\left([0, \infty], g_{\text {exp }}\right)$. The first metric on $X_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ we want to consider is defined to be the pull-back of euc to $X_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ by the $\operatorname{map} \alpha_{n}$ :

$$
g=\alpha_{n}^{*}(e u c)
$$

Now, since we regard $M$ as a submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{d}, g$ induces a Riemannian metric on $X_{n}(M)$.
The second metric is defined as follows. Let $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in X_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Let $d(x)$ denote the minimal distance between the points in $x$, that is:

$$
d(x)=\min \left\{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|: \quad i \neq j\right\}
$$

where $|x-y|$ denotes the standard Euclidean distance between vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$.
Note that on $X_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ we have the Euclidean metric restricted from $\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)^{n}$. We rescale this metric by the factor $\frac{1}{d}$, i.e. we define a metric $g_{0}$ on $X_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ by

$$
|v|_{g_{0}}=\frac{|v|}{d(x)}
$$

where $v \in T_{x}\left(X_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)=\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)^{n}$ and $|v|$ is the Euclidean length of $v \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)^{n}$. One should note that $d(x)$, and consequently $g_{0}$, is continuous, but not differentiable. A manifold with such a metric is
called a $C^{0}$-Riemannian manifold. On a $C^{0}$-Riemannian manifold one defines the lengths of paths and a geodesic metric in the same way as in the smooth case.
Again, $g_{0}$ restricts to a metric on $X_{n}(M)$.
Proof of Proposition 1.1. We need to show that

$$
|[\lambda]|_{P_{n}(M)} \leq A_{0} \cdot l_{g}(\lambda)+B_{0}
$$

where $\lambda$ be a piecewise $C^{1}$ loop in $X_{n}(M)$ based at $q,[\lambda] \in \pi_{1}\left(X_{n}(M), q\right) \cong P_{n}(M)$ and $|[\lambda]|_{P_{n}(M)}$ is the word norm of $[\lambda]$.
By [52, Theorem 4.4] $\bar{X}_{n}(M)$ is a manifold with corners and $X_{n}(M)$ is its interior. Moreover, the coordinate charts on $\bar{X}_{n}(M)$ are defined in such a way that the embedding of $\bar{X}_{n}(M)$ into $A_{n}\left[\mathbb{R}^{d}\right]$ is smooth. Thus one can restrict the metric euc to $\bar{X}_{n}(M)$. In other words, $g=\alpha_{n}^{*}(e u c)$ extends to the compactification $\bar{X}_{n}(M)$. Now the proposition follows directly from the Švarc-Milnor lemma [22, 54, 44]. More precisely, consider the following formulation of this result [15, Proposition 8.19].

Lemma 3. Let a group $\Gamma$ act properly and discontinuously by isometries on a proper length space $X$. If the action is cocompact, then $\Gamma$ is finitely generated and for any choice of base-point $x_{0} \in X$, the $\operatorname{map} \Gamma \rightarrow X, h \mapsto h \cdot x_{0}$, is a quasi-isometry.

Denote by $g_{c}$ the metric on $\bar{X}_{n}(M)$ restricted from euc. We apply this result to $X=\widetilde{\bar{X}}_{n}(M)$, $\Gamma=\pi_{1}\left(\bar{X}_{n}(M), \pi\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \cong \pi_{1}\left(X_{n}(M), \pi\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$, and the length space structure on $X$ being induced by the lift $\widetilde{g_{c}}=\pi^{*} g_{c}$ to $X$ of $g_{c}$ on $K=X / \Gamma=\bar{X}_{n}(M)$ by the natural projection map $\pi: X \rightarrow K$. Note that $d_{\widetilde{g_{c}}}\left(x_{0}, h \cdot x_{0}\right) \leq l_{g_{c}}(\lambda)$ where $\lambda$ is any $C^{1}$ loop in $X_{n}(M)$ based at $\pi\left(x_{0}\right)$ representing the element $h$. Moreover, since $g_{c}$ is an extension of $g$, we have $l_{g_{c}}(\lambda)=l_{g}(\lambda)$.

### 2.3 Proof of Proposition 1.2

We begin with the proof of the main technical result:
Lemma 4. There exists $C>0$ depending only on $n$, such that $|v|_{g} \leq C \cdot|v|_{g_{0}}$ for every $v \in T\left(X_{n}(M)\right)$.
Proof. Since $\alpha_{n}$ is a product map, in order to get a bound on the norm $|v|_{g}$, we need to bound norms of vectors $D \pi_{i j}(v)$ and $D s_{i j k}(v)$. Let $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in X_{n}(M)$ and let $v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right) \in T_{x}\left(X_{n}(M)\right)$. There exists $\epsilon>0$ such that $x+t v \in X_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for every $t<\epsilon$. Note that even though the path $\{x+t v\}_{t \in[0, \epsilon]}$ is not contained in $X_{n}(M)$, it still represents the tangent vector $v \in T_{x}\left(X_{n}(M)\right)<$ $T_{x}\left(X_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D \pi_{i j}(v) & =\frac{d}{d t}{ }_{\mid t=0} \pi_{i j}(x+t v) \\
& =\frac{d}{d t}_{\mid t=0} \frac{x_{i}-x_{j}+t\left(v_{i}-v_{j}\right)}{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}+t\left(v_{i}-v_{j}\right)\right|} \\
& =\frac{v_{i}-v_{j}}{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}-\frac{\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)\left\langle v_{i}-v_{j}, x_{i}-x_{j}\right\rangle}{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By definition $D \pi_{i j}(v)$ is a vector in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ tangent to a $(d-1)$-dimensional sphere at point $\pi_{i j}(x)$. By $\left|D \pi_{i j}(v)\right|$ we denote its Euclidean length.

After applying inequalities $\left\langle v_{i}-v_{j}, x_{i}-x_{j}\right\rangle \leq\left|v_{i}-v_{j}\right|\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|$ and $\left|v_{i}-v_{j}\right| \leq\left|v_{i}\right|+\left|v_{j}\right|$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|D \pi_{i j}(v)\right| & \leq 2 \frac{1}{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}\left(\left|v_{i}\right|+\left|v_{j}\right|\right) \\
& \leq \frac{2}{d(x)}\left(\left|v_{i}\right|+\left|v_{j}\right|\right) \\
& \leq \frac{2}{d(x)}\left(\sum_{i}\left|v_{i}\right|\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, for $s_{i j k}$ we compute (already in the map):

$$
\begin{aligned}
D e^{-s_{i j k}}(v) & \left.=\frac{d}{d t} \right\rvert\, t=0 \\
& =\frac{d}{d t} e_{\mid t=0}^{-s_{i j k}(x+t v)} e^{-\frac{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}+t\left(v_{i}-v_{j}\right)\right|}{\left|x_{i}-x_{k}+t\left(v_{i}-v_{k}\right)\right|}} \\
& =\left[\frac{\left\langle v_{i}-v_{j}, x_{i}-x_{j}\right\rangle}{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|\left|x_{i}-x_{k}\right|}-\frac{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|\left\langle x_{i}-x_{k}, v_{i}-v_{k}\right\rangle}{\left|x_{i}-x_{k}\right|^{3}}\right] e^{-\frac{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}{\left|x_{i}-x_{k}\right|}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By definition $\left|D s_{i j k}(v)\right|_{g_{e x_{p}}}=\left|D e^{-s_{i j k}}(v)\right|$. Using similar inequalities as before we get:

$$
\left|D s_{i j k}(v)\right|_{g_{e x p}} \leq\left[\frac{\left|v_{i}\right|+\left|v_{j}\right|}{\left|x_{i}-x_{k}\right|}+\frac{\left(\left|v_{i}\right|+\left|v_{k}\right|\right)\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}{\left|x_{i}-x_{k}\right|^{2}}\right] e^{-\frac{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}{\left|x_{i}-x_{k}\right|}}
$$

Applying the inequality $e^{-x} \leq \frac{1}{x}$ to the last term we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|D s_{i j k}(v)\right|_{g_{e x p}} & \leq\left[\frac{\left|v_{i}\right|+\left|v_{j}\right|}{\left|x_{i}-x_{k}\right|}+\frac{\left(\left|v_{i}\right|+\left|v_{k}\right|\right)\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}{\left|x_{i}-x_{k}\right|^{2}}\right] \frac{\left|x_{i}-x_{k}\right|}{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|} \\
& =\frac{\left|v_{i}\right|+\left|v_{j}\right|}{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|}+\frac{\left|v_{i}\right|+\left|v_{k}\right|}{\left|x_{i}-x_{k}\right|} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{d(x)}\left(2\left|v_{i}\right|+\left|v_{j}\right|+\left|v_{k}\right|\right) \\
& \leq \frac{2}{d(x)}\left(\sum_{i}\left|v_{i}\right|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, since $\sum_{i}\left|v_{i}\right| \leq \sqrt{n}|v|$, we get:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|D \pi_{i j}(v)\right| \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{d(x)}|v| \\
\left|D s_{i j k}(v)\right|_{g_{e x p}} \leq \frac{2 \sqrt{n}}{d(x)}|v|
\end{gathered}
$$

We assume that $M$ is compact, therefore there exists $A>0$ such that $d(x) \leq A$ for every $x \in X_{n}(M)$. Now we can bound the pulled-back metric $|\cdot|_{g}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
|v|_{g}^{2} & =|D \iota(v)|^{2}+\sum_{i, j}\left|D \pi_{i j}(v)\right|^{2}+\sum_{i, j, k}\left|D s_{i j k}(v)\right|_{g_{e x p}}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{A^{2}}{d(x)^{2}}|v|^{2}+\sum_{i, j} \frac{n}{d(x)^{2}}|v|^{2}+\sum_{i, j, k} \frac{4 n}{d(x)^{2}}|v|^{2} \\
& =C \frac{|v|^{2}}{d(x)^{2}}=C \cdot|v|_{g_{0}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $C=A^{2}+n\binom{n}{2}+4 n\binom{n}{3}$.
Remark 2.3.1. In the proof of Lemma 4, the inequality $e^{-x}<\frac{1}{x}$ is used to show the bound on the length of $D s_{i j k}$. Indeed, the choice of the function $e^{-x}:[0, \infty] \rightarrow[0,1]$ to define a smooth structure and the metric on $[0, \infty]$ is not completely arbitrary. For a different identification, e.g. $\ln \left(\frac{x+e}{x+1}\right):[0, \infty] \rightarrow[0,1]$, we get a different smooth structure on $[0, \infty]$ (in the sense, that the identity map is not smooth) and a metric which is not equivalent to $g_{\text {exp }}$. Then after pull-back by $\alpha_{n}$ we get a metric on $X_{n}(M)$ which is not equivalent to $g$ and for this metric Lemma 4 might not hold.

Proof of Proposition 1.2. We need to show, that

$$
\int_{X_{n}(M) \backslash Z \cup\left(\phi_{1}\right)^{-1}(Z)} l_{g}(\lambda(x, \bar{\phi})) d \mu^{\otimes n}(x) \leq A_{1} \cdot l_{1}(\bar{\phi})+B_{1},
$$

where $\bar{\phi}=\left\{\phi_{t}\right\}$ is an isotopy in $\mathcal{G}$ such that $\phi_{0}=1$.
A similar inequality was proven in [43] for a metric which is equivalent to $g_{0}$. Let us first describe this metric. By $d_{M}$ denote the geodesic metric on $M$ induced by the restriction of the standard Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Let $x \in X_{n}(M)$ and $v \in T_{x}\left(X_{n}(M)\right)$. We define

$$
|v|_{g_{b}}=\frac{|v|}{d_{M}(x)},
$$

where $d_{M}(x)=\min \left\{d_{M}\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right): i \neq j\right\}$ and $|v|$ is the Euclidean length of $v$ seen as a vector in $\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)^{n}$. The difference between the function $d$ used to define $g_{0}$ and $d_{M}$ is that in $d$ we measure the distance between points $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$ in the ambient space $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and in $d_{M}$ inside $M$. Since $M$ is compact, clearly $d$ and $d_{M}$ are equivalent and consequently $g_{b}$ and $g_{0}$ are equivalent.

It follows from [43, Lemma 5.2] that

$$
\int_{X_{n}(M) \backslash Z \cup\left(\phi_{1}\right)^{-1}(Z)} l_{g_{b}}\left(\left\{\phi_{t} \cdot x\right\}\right) d \mu^{\otimes n}(x) \leq C^{\prime} \cdot l_{1}(\bar{\phi}) .
$$

Recall that $\left\{\phi_{t} \cdot x\right\}$ is a path in $X_{n}(M)\left(\phi_{t}\right.$ acts on $x \in X_{n}(M)$ component-wise). Since $g_{0}$ and $g_{b}$ are equivalent, this inequality holds as well for $g_{0}$ (with a possibly different constant).
Let us now focus on the metric $g$. Since the geodesic metric $d_{g}$ defined by $g$ extends to the compactification of $X_{n}(M)$, the diameter of $\left(X_{n}(M), d_{g}\right)$ is finite. We can choose the system of short paths $\gamma(x)$ such that $l_{g}(\gamma(x)) \leq D$ for some $D>0$ and every $x \in X_{n}(M) \backslash Z$.

Thus for every $x \in X_{n}(M) \backslash Z \cup\left(\phi_{1}\right)^{-1}(Z)$ we have

$$
l_{g}(\lambda(x, \bar{\phi})) \leq l_{g}(\gamma(x))+l_{g}\left(\left\{\phi_{t} \cdot x\right\}\right)+l_{g}\left(\gamma\left(\phi_{1}(x)\right)\right) \leq 2 D+l_{g}\left(\left\{\phi_{t} \cdot x\right\}\right)
$$

Finally, due to Lemma 4, we have $l_{g}\left(\left\{\phi_{t} \cdot x\right\}\right) \leq C \cdot l_{g_{0}}\left(\left\{\phi_{t} \cdot x\right\}\right)$ and the proposition follows.
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